UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,471	09/10/2003	Jan-Ove Palmberg	6730.056.NPUS00	4947
	7590 08/09/2007 CE & QUIGG, LLP		EXAMINER	
1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER			WILLIAMS, MAURICE L	
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
		•		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/660,471	PALMBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Maurice Williams	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2007.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)	s/are withdrawn from consideration	on.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Remarks

1. The previous examiner involved with the prosecution of this case has recently left

the office. This case has been transferred to a new examiner.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure

statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other

information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states,

"the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a

separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on

form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show

every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the controller must be

shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in

reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure

is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Art Unit: 3611

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. ¶ 0003, In. 2: 'or assist force' should be removed
 - b. ¶ 0019, In. 1: 'angel' should be changed to 'angle'
 - c. ¶ 0044, In. 3: '330' should be changed to '340'
 - d. ¶ 0050, In. 5: 'cambers' should be changed to 'chambers'

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,471

Art Unit: 3611

3. Claims 48, 50-52, 55-57, and 65 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doolittle (US 5,713,429). Doolittle discloses:

Page 4

A hydraulic power assisting steering apparatus (Fig. 1) comprising a valve (22) that is arranged to be actuated depending on an applied torque for altering pressure of an hydraulic fluid to be received by a hydraulic power steering system for applying a steering assist force (col. 1, ln. 66-col. 2, ln. 3), wherein the valve is arranged to be dynamically further actuated according to a control mechanism depending on at least one external or internal vehicle input parameter (col. 2, ln. 16-21); wherein said valve has at least one valve member arranged for at least one axial-displacement relative to a second valve member and a cam (120) which is arranged to rotatably engage with a guide portion associated with the at least one valve member for causing axial displacement of the at least one valve member when said cam rotates (col. 6, ln. 7-11).

The valve member is (indirectly) displaced by means of an electric motor (14), which (indirectly) actuates the cam.

The valve member is also rotatably displaced. (col. 6, ln. 7-8)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,471

Art Unit: 3611

5.

Claims 47, 55-57, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

anticipated by Okada et al. (US 5,593,002). Okada discloses:

A hydraulic power assisting steering apparatus (Fig. 1) comprising a valve (22) that is arranged to be actuated depending on an applied torque for altering pressure of an hydraulic fluid to be received by a hydraulic power steering system for applying a steering assist force (col. 2, ln. 7-10), wherein the valve is arranged to be dynamically further actuated according to a control mechanism depending on at least one external or internal vehicle input parameter (col. 2, ln. 17-19: dependence on vehicle speed); wherein the valve is arranged to be dynamically further actuated by displacement of one a first valve member relative to a second valve member (col. 5, ln. 49-63); wherein one said first valve member is arranged to be axially and rotatably displaced with respect to a shaft in the hydraulic power steering system (Figs. 4a-c show that member 39 is axially and rotatably displaced during operation).

Okada also discloses another member of the valve (**41**) actuated with respect to member **39**, depending on applied torque and a vehicle input parameter (col. 6, In. 19-36 discusses the adjustment in relation to the speed at which the vehicle is traveling). The members are rotatably and axially displaced with respect to one another as shown in Figs. 4a-c.

Okada does not directly disclose a motor used to displace the valve member. However, col. 7, In. 8-10 indicates that an electric motor may be used in an alternate embodiment. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 3611

time of the invention to modify the pictured embodiment in order to provide a motor for actuation, which is well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, see Applicant Arguments, filed 03/08/07, with respect to 6. all § 112 rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 47, 48, 50-52, and 55-57 has been withdrawn.
- 7. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant Arguments, filed 03/08/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 47-61 under § 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 62 and 64 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Modrzejewski (605), Bishop (306), Dymond (545), Ueno (421), and Walton (419).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,471

Art Unit: 3611

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurice Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-4263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 a.m. - 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Maurice Williams

Examiner
Art Unit 3611

MLW

August 3, 2007

LESLEY D. MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600